Amaryllidaceae Alkaloids from Lycoris radiata

by Tao Feng, Yuan-Yuan Wang, Jia Su, Yan Li, Xiang-Hai Cai, and Xiao-Dong Luo*

State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 132 Lanhei Road, Kunming 650204, P. R. China (phone: +86-871-5223177; fax: +86-871-5150227; e-mail: xdluo@mail.kib.ac.cn)

A phytochemical investigation on bulbs of *Lycoris radiata* resulted in the isolation of three new Amaryllidaceae alkaloids, named 5,6-dehydrodihydrolycorine (1), $\beta\beta$ -acetoxycrinamine (2), and (+)-8-O-acetylhomolycorine α -N-oxide (3), together with eleven known alkaloids, 4–14. The structures of the new alkaloids were established by means of spectroscopic methods, and the known compounds were identified by comparison of their data with those in the literature. Compound 2 showed cytotoxicity against HL-60, A-549, and MCF-7 cells, with IC_{50} values of 8.1, 24.3, and 15.0 µM, respectively.

Introduction. - Amaryllidaceae alkaloids have for a long time attracted great interest of synthetic organic chemists because of their several biological activities and their potential diversity in pharmacology [1-9]. So far, more than 100 alkaloids have been isolated from Amaryllidaceae plants [10], which exhibited diverse bioacitivities, such as antiviral, insect antifeedant, antineoplastic, and acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activities [10-14]. As part of our search for novel and bioactive compounds, we isolated three new Amaryllidaceae alkaloids, 5,6-dehydrodihydrolycorine (1), 6β acetoxycrinamine (2), and (+)-8-O-acetylhomolycorine α -N-oxide (3), together with eleven known analogs from bulbs of Lycoris radiata, a Chinese folk medicine famous for the treatment of poliomyelitis [15]. The new structures were elucidated by means of spectroscopic methods, and the known compounds were identified as 6-hydroxycrinamine (4) [16], homolycorine (5) [17], dihydrolycorine (6) [18], lycorine (7) [18], 7oxodihydrolycorine (8) [19], (+)-hippeastrine (9) [20], 2α -hydroxy-6-O-methoxyloduline (10) [21], galanthamine (11) [22], 7-deoxynarciclasine (12) [23], pancratinine C (13) [24], and 5,6-dihydrobicolorine (14) [25]. In addition, compounds 1-3 were evaluated for their cytotoxic activities against five human cancer cell lines.

Results and Discussions. – Compound **1** was isolated as a yellow powder $([a]_D^{22} = +399.7 (c = 0.1, MeOH))$. The HR-ESI-MS (positive-ion mode) displayed a molecular ion at m/z 288.1228 (M^+), corresponding to the molecular formula $C_{16}H_{18}NO_4$. The UV absorption bands at 373, 308, 253, and 211 nm suggested an extended chromophore, and a O–CH₂–O-substituted benzene ring [26], whereas the IR absorption bands at 3405, 3358, 1646, 1605, and 922 cm⁻¹ indicated two OH groups and a phenyl function. The ¹H-NMR spectrum (*Table*) displayed two *singlet*s for two *para*-positioned aromatic H-atoms (δ (H) 7.30, 7.16), signal of a OCH₂O group (δ (H) 6.18), and a downfield *singlet* corresponding to the H-atom of an iminium salt (δ (H) 8.86) [27]. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum (*Table*) displayed 16 C-atom resonances ascribable to four CH₂ and eight CH

^{© 2011} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



groups (including three sp³-C-atoms bearing a heteroatom), and four sp² quaternary Catoms. The above data suggested that compound **1** was an amaryllidaceae alkaloid similar to dihydrolycorine (**6**) [18], except for an imine moiety located between N(5) and C(6) (δ (C) 163.0) in **1**, as supported by HMBCs of δ (H) 8.86 (*s*, H–C(6)) with δ (C) 61.5 (*d*, C(4a)), 139.3 (*s*, C(6a)), 122.3 (*s*, C(10a)), and 57.5 (*t*, C(12)) (*Fig.*). The relative configuration of **1** was elucidated by a ROESY experiment. For biogenetic



Figure. Key HMBC and ROESY Correlations of 1 and 2

reasons, H–C(10b) is considered to be β -oriented, and H–C(4a) α -oriented [18]. Subsequently, the ROESY correlation H–C(10b)/H–C(1) suggested the α -orientation for the OH group at C(1), and the ROESY correlations of H–C(4a)/H–C(4) and H–C(4)/H–C(2) indicated also α -orientation for both H–C(4) and H–C(2) (*Fig.*). Finally, detailed analysis of 2D-NMR data established the structure of **1** as 5,6dehydrodihydrolycorine.

Position	1 ^a)		2 ^b)		3 ^a)	
	δ(H)	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$	δ(H)	$\delta(C)$
1	4.48 (br. s)	67.3 (d)	6.38 (d, J = 10.1)	126.3 (d)	4.95 (d, J = 2.0)	78.0(d)
2	3.83 (ddd,	69.1 (d)	6.41 (<i>dd</i> ,	132.7 (d)	2.52 (d, J = 16.8),	31.5 (t)
	J = 8.5, 4.0, 2.6)		J = 10.1, 1.2)		2.88–2.91 (<i>m</i>)	
3	1.91 (dd, J = 11.6, 4.0),	28.4 (t)	3.87 - 3.89(m)	72.4 (d)	5.79 (br. s)	125.9 (d)
	2.14 (<i>dd</i> , <i>J</i> = 11.6, 2.6)					
4	2.85 - 2.88(m)	35.1 (d)	1.99 (dd,	27.6 (t)		141.4 (s)
			J = 13.3, 5.1),			
			2.11 (dd,			
			J = 13.3, 4.5)			
4a	4.26 - 4.28(m)	61.5(d)	3.63 (dd,	58.2(d)	4.14 (d, J = 10.0)	79.0(d)
			J = 13.3, 4.5)			
6	8.86 (s)	163.0(d)	6.13 (s)	87.0(d)		166.6(s)
6a		139.3 (s)		125.0 (s)		118.1 (s)
7	7.30(s)	112.6(d)	6.65(s)	108.8(d)	7.15(s)	121.3 (d)
8		149.0 (s)		146.4 (s)		143.3 (s)
9		157.1 (s)		148.1 (s)		157.0 (s)
10	7.16(s)	107.1(d)	6.83(s)	103.0(d)	7.52(s)	114.1(d)
10a		122.3 (s)		137.1 (s)		135.4 (s)
10b	3.09 (br. <i>s</i>)	41.8(d)		49.9 (s)	3.62 (dd,	38.1 (d)
					J = 10.0, 2.0)	
11	2.20-2.22(m),	33.5 (t)	3.93 (dd,	78.2(d)	2.69 - 2.72 (m, 2 H)	26.4(t)
	2.28 - 2.31 (m)		J = 6.7, 3.0)			
12	4.08 (dt, J = 10.0, 4.4),	57.5 (t)	3.27 (dd,	58.7 (t)	3.51(t, J=9.3),	70.8 (t)
	4.23 (t, J = 10.0)		J = 13.5, 3.0),		3.70(t, J = 9.3)	
			3.44 (<i>dd</i> ,			
			J = 13.5, 6.7)			
OCH_2O	6.18 (br. s)	104.7 (t)	5.94 (br. s)	101.2(t)		
MeN					2.97 (s)	56.0(q)
MeO			3.44 (s)	56.6(q)	3.98 (s)	57.2 (q)
$MeCO_2$			2.12 (s)	21.5(q)	2.28 (s)	20.3(q)
$MeCO_2$				170.4 (s)		170.2 (s)

Table. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Data (500 and 100 MHz, resp.) of 1-3 (δ in ppm, J in Hz)

Compound **2** was isolated as a colorless oil. The positive-ion-mode HR-ESI-MS displayed an $[M + H]^+$ peak at m/z 360.1447, corresponding to the molecular formula C₁₉H₂₁NO₆. The IR absorption bands at 3386 and 1711 cm⁻¹ are ascribable to a OH group and an ester CO group, respectively. In the ¹H-NMR spectrum, two *singlets* at δ (H) 6.65 (*s*) and 6.83 (*s*) were assigned to two *para*-positioned aromatic H-atoms

H–C(7) and H–C(10), respectively. A broad singlet at $\delta(H)$ 5.94 (br. s, 2 H) was ascribed to the OCH₂O H-atoms. Two signals at $\delta(H)$ 6.38 (d, J=10.1) and 6.41 (dd, J = 10.1, 1.2) were assigned to the olefinic H-atoms H–C(1) and H–C(2), respectively. Two *singlets* at $\delta(H)$ 3.44 (s) and 2.12 (s) were ascribed to H-atoms of a MeO group and a Me group, respectively. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum exhibited 19 C-atom resonances which revealed the existence of a phenyl (δ (C) 103.0, 108.8, 125.0, 137.1, 146.4, 148.1), an Ac (δ (C) 21.5, 170.4), a MeO (δ (C) 56.6), and a OCH₂O group (δ (C) 101.2), two Obearing CH groups (δ (C) 72.4, 78.2), an sp³ quaternary C-atom (δ (C) 49.9), and a downfield sp³-CH group ($\delta(C)$ 87.0) bearing two heteroatoms. The above data resembled those of 6-hydroxycrinamine (4) [16] except for an additional AcO group $(\delta(C) 21.5 (q), 170.4 (s); \delta(H) 2.12 (s, 3 H))$ in **2**. The key HMBC of $\delta(H) 6.13 (s, 3 H)$ H–C(6)) with δ (C) 170.4 (s, MeCO₂) suggested that the AcO group was at C(6) (Fig.). The ROESY correlations H–C(4a)/H–C(3) and H–C(11)/H–C(4a) suggested both H–C(3) and H–C(11) to be β -oriented, and the ROESY correlation of H–C(6)/ H–C(12 α) indicated α -orientation for H–C(6) (*Fig.*). Detailed analysis of 2D-NMR data established compound **2** to be 6β -acetoxycrinamine.

Compound **3** was obtained as a colorless oil. The IR absorption bands at 1703 and 1657 cm⁻¹ indicated the existence of CO moieties, while the UV absorption bands at 265 and 212 nm suggested a conjugated moiety. The HR-ESI-MS (positive-ion mode) displayed an $[M + H]^+$ peak at m/z 360.1457, 16 mass units higher than that of (+)-8-*O*-acetylhomolycorine [27]. Compound **3** was readily identified as (+)-8-*O*-acetylhomolycorine *N*-oxide from the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data (*Table*), in particular with the characteristic downfield signals of the C-atom resonances for C(4a) (δ (C) 79.0), C(12) (δ (C) 70.8), and MeN (δ (C) 56.0) with respect to those of (+)-8-*O*-acetylhomolycorine [27]. The ROESY correlation MeN/H–C(4a) suggested α -orientation of the *N*-oxide [28]. Hence, compound **3** was established as (+)-8-*O*-acetylhomolycorine α -*N*-oxide.

Compounds **1**–**3** were evaluated for their cytotoxicity against five human cancer cell lines. Compound **2** showed cytotoxicity against HL-60, A-549, and MCF-7 cells, with IC_{50} values of 8.1, 24.3, and 15.0 μ M, respectively, while the positive control cisplatin gave IC_{50} values of 2.4, 17.6, and 18.7 μ M. Compounds **1** and **3** were inactive (IC_{50} values > 40 μ M).

Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (SiO₂, 200–300 mesh; Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd., P. R. China), RP-18 gel (20–45 µm; Fuji Silysia Chemical Ltd., Japan), and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia Fine Chemical Co., Ltd., Sweden). TLC: GF 254 plates (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd., P. R. China). Optical rotations: Horiba SEPA-300 polarimeter. UV Spectra: Shimadzu UV-2401A spectrophotometer. IR Spectra: Tenor 27 spectrophotometer with KBr pellets. 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra: a Bruker DRX-500 and an AM-400 spectrometers with TMS as internal standard, δ in ppm and J in Hz. HR-ESI-MS: API-Qstar-Pulsar-1 spectrometer.

Plant Material. Bulbs of *L. radiata* were bought from Juhuacun Chinese Traditional Medicine Market, Kunming, Yunnan Province, P. R. China, and identified by *X.-D. L.* A voucher specimen has been deposited with the Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. R. China.

Extraction and Isolation. The air-dried and powdered sample (15 kg) was powdered and extacted with MeOH at r.t. (3×24 h) to give a crude extract (950 g). The extract was partitioned between AcOEt and 0.5% HCl soln. The acidic H₂O-soluble material was adjusted to pH 9–10 with 10% aq. NH₃ soln. and then extracted with AcOEt to give an alkaloidal extract (68 g). The alkaloidal extract was subjected

to CC (SiO₂; CHCl₃/Me₂CO gradient 1:0, 15:1, 10:1, 5:1, 3:1, 1:1) to give six fractions *Frs.* 1–6. *Fr.* 1 (3 g) was subjected to CC (SiO₂; petroleum ether (PE)/Me₂CO 15:1 \rightarrow 10:1) to yield **5** (6 mg) and **14** (80 mg). *Fr.* 2 (16 g) was subjected to CC (SiO₂; PE/Me₂CO 10:1 \rightarrow 2:1) to yield **2** (12 mg), **9** (110 mg) and **11** (2 g). *Fr.* 3 (7 g) was subjected to CC (SiO₂; PE/Me₂CO 5:1 \rightarrow 1:1), then purified by CC (*RP-18* gel; MeOH/H₂O 6:4) to yield **4** (130 mg) and **10** (60 mg). *Fr.* 4 (9 g) was subjected to CC (*RP-18* gel; MeOH/H₂O 5:5) to yield **7** (80 mg), **8** (12 mg), and a mixture (2 g). Compound **6** (1.7 g) precipitated from the mixture. *Fr.* 5 (11 g) was separated by CC (SiO₂; CHCl₃/MeOH 10:1 \rightarrow 5:1) and further purified by CC (*RP-18* gel; MeOH/H₂O 4:6) to yield **3** (6 mg), **13** (20 mg), and a mixture (5.8 g). Compound **12** (3.2 g) precipitated from the mixture. *Fr.* 6 (7 g) was separated by CC (SiO₂; CHCl₃/MeOH 5:1) and further purified by CC (*Sephadex LH-20*; MeOH) to yield **1** (8 mg).

5,6-Dehydrodihydrolycorine (=(1\$,2\$,3aR,12b\$,12cR)-1,2,3,3a,4,5,12b,12c-Octahydro-1,2-dihydroxy[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-j]pyrrolo[3,2,1-de]phenanthridin-6-ium; **1**). Yellow powder. $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = +399.7$ (c = 0.1, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 373 (3.95), 308 (3.80), 253 (4.32), 211 (4.73). IR (KBr): 3405, 3358, 1646, 1605, 1589, 1499, 1270, 1033, 922. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see *Table*. HR-ESI-MS (pos.): 288.1228 (M^+ , C₁₆H₁₈NO₄⁺; calc. 288.1235).

6β-Acetoxycrinamine (= $(3a,6\beta,11S,13\beta,19a)$ -11-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-1,2-didehydrocrinan-6-yl Acetate; **2**). Colorless oil. $[a]_{2D}^{2D}$ = +22.1 (*c* = 0.1, CHCl₃). UV (CHCl₃): 291 (3.79), 240 (3.88). IR (KBr): 3386, 2899, 1711, 1484, 1248, 1060, 932, 868. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see *Table*. HR-ESI-MS (pos.): 360.1447 ([*M*+H]⁺, C₁₉H₂₀NO₆⁺; calc. 360.1447).

(+)-8-O-Acetylhomolycorine a-N-oxide (=(1R,5aR,11bS,11cS)-1,2,3,5,5a,7,11b,11c-Octahydro-10methoxy-1-methyl-1-oxido-7-oxoisochromeno[3,4-g]indol-9-yl Acetate; **3**). Colorless oil. [a]²⁶₂ = +113.5 (c = 0.1, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 379 (2.67), 307 (3.51), 265 (3.80), 212 (4.61). IR (KBr): 2944, 1703, 1657, 1600, 1451, 1309, 1226, 1066, 909. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Table*. HR-ESI-MS (pos.): 360.1457 ([M + H]⁺, $C_{19}H_{20}NO_{6}^{+}$; calc. 360.1447).

Cytotoxicity Assay. Five human cancer cell lines (Sigma, USA), i.e., breast cancer MCF-7, hepatocellular carcinoma SMMC-7721, human myeloid leukemia HL-60, colon cancer W480, and lung cancer A-549 cells, were used in the cytotoxic assay. Cells were cultured in *RPMI-1640* or in DMEM medium (*Dulbecco*'s Modified Eagle Medium; *Hyclone*, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (*Hyclone*, USA) in 5% CO₂ at 37°. The cytotoxicity assay was performed according to the MTT (= 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2*H*-tetrazolium bromide) method in 96-well microplates [29]. Briefly, 100 µl of adherent cells were seeded into each well of 96-well cell-culture plates and allowed to adhere for 12 h before addition of test compounds, while suspended cells were seeded just before drug addition with initial density of 1×10^5 cells/ml. Each tumor cell line was exposed to the test compound dissolved in DMSO at concentrations of 0.0625, 0.32, 1.6, 8, and 40 µM in triplicates for 48 h, with cisplatin (*Sigma*, USA) as a pos. control. After compound treatment, cell viability was detected, and a cell growth curve was graphed. The *IC*₅₀ values were calculated by *Reed* and *Muench*'s method [30].

The authors are grateful to the *National Basic Research Program of China* (973 Program 2009CB522300), the *Chinese Academy of Sciences* (KSCX2-YW-R-202), and the *National Natural Science Foundation of China* for partly financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. H. R. Barton, G. W. Kirby, J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 806.
- [2] N. Hazama, H. Irie, T. Mizutani, T. Shingu, M. Takada, S. Uyeo, A. Yoshitake, J. Chem. Soc. C 1968, 2947.
- [3] Y. Misaka, T. Mizutani, M. Sekido, S. Uyeo, J. Chem. Soc. C 1968, 2954.
- [4] A. G. Schultz, Y. K. Yee, M. H. Berger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 8065.
- [5] S. F. Martin, P. J. Garrison, J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1513.
- [6] I. H. Sanchez, J. J. Soria, F. J. Lopez, M. I. Larraza, H. J. Flores, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 157.
- [7] K. A. Parker, H. J. Kim, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 752.
- [8] Y. Kita, M. Arisawa, M. Gyoten, M. Nakajima, R. Hamada, H. Tohma, T. Takada, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6625.

- [9] C.-A. Fan, Y.-Q. Tu, Z.-L. Song, E. Zhang, L. Shi, M. Wang, B. Wang, S.-Y. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4691.
- [10] A. Kornienko, A. Evidente, Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 1982.
- [11] C. Griffin, N. Sharda, D. Sood, J. Nair, J. McNulty, S. Pandey, Cancer Cell Int. 2007, 7, 10.
- [12] X.-S. Liu, J. Jiang, X.-Y. Jiao, Y.-E. Wu, J.-H. Lin, Y.-M. Cai, Cancer Lett. 2009, 274, 16.
- [13] O. Arrigoni, R. Arrigoni-Liso, G. Calabrese, Nature 1975, 256, 513.
- [14] O. Arrigoni, R. Arrigoni-Liso, G. Calabrese, Science 1976, 194, 332.
- [15] Y. Tsiang, P.-Y. Li, 'Flora of China', Science Press, Beijing, 1977, Vol. 63, p. 18.
- [16] Y. Tsuda, N. Kashiwaba, V. Kumar, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1984, 32, 3023.
- [17] R. Suau, A. I. Gómez, R. Rico, M. P. Vázquez Tato, L. Castedo, R. Riguera, *Phytochemistry* 1988, 27, 3285.
- [18] A. Evidente, M. R. Cicala, I. Giudicianni, G. Randazzo, R. Riccio, Phytochemistry 1983, 22, 581.
- [19] Y. Tsuda, T. Sano, J. Taga, K. Isobe, J. Toda, S. Takagi, M. Yamaki, M. Murata, H. Irie, H. Tanaka, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, 1358.
- [20] M. Kihara, K. Konishi, L. Xu, S. Kobayashi, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1991, 39, 1849.
- [21] G. R. Almanza, J. M. Fernández, E. W. T. Wakori, F. Viladomat, C. Codina, J. Bastida, *Phytochem-istry* 1996, 43, 1375.
- [22] R. Vlahova, D. Krikorian, G. Spassov, M. Chinova, I. Vlahov, S. Parushev, G. Snatzke, L. Ernst, K. Kieslich, W.-R. Abraham, W. S. Sheldrick, *Tetrahedron* 1989, 45, 3329.
- [23] G. R. Pettit, N. Melody, J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 207.
- [24] J. C. Cedrón, J. C. Oberti, A. Estévez-Braun, Á. G. Ravelo, M. Del Arco-Aguilar, M. López, J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 112.
- [25] F. Viladomat, J. Bastida, G. Tribo, C. Codina, M. Rubiralta, Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 1307.
- [26] M. P. V. Tato, L. Castedo, R. Riguera, Heterocycles 1988, 27, 2833.
- [27] J. Bastida, C. Codina, F. Viladomat, M. Rubiralta, J.-C. Quirion, B. Weniger, J. Nat. Prod. 1992, 55, 122.
- [28] F.-W. Lin, P.-L. Wu, T.-S. Wu, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2001, 49, 1292.
- [29] T. Mosmann, J. Immunol. Methods 1983, 65, 55.
- [30] L. J. Reed, H. Muench, Am. J. Hygiene 1938, 27, 493.

Received May 1, 2010